

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
2 JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)
3 john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com
4 JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134)
5 jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com
6 FUSAE NARA (*pro hac vice*)
7 fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com
8 ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479)
9 andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com
10 50 Fremont Street
11 San Francisco, CA 94105
12 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
13 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200
14
15 Attorneys for Defendants
16 SHARP CORPORATION and
17 SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14

15 IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)
16 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI
MDL No. 1827

17

This Document Relates To:

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME
TO ANSWER AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

18

Case No. 3:11-cv-711 SI

19

STATE OF NEW YORK, by and through,
ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN, Attorney
General,

21

Plaintiff,

22

v.

23

AU Optronics Corporation, *et al.*,

24

Defendants.

25

26

27

28

1 WHEREAS plaintiff State of New York filed an Amended Complaint in the above-
2 captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation
3 America, Inc., Chi Mei Optoelectronics Corporation, Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA, Inc.,
4 CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Hitachi, Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic Devices
5 (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co.,
6 Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp
7 Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Matsushita
8 Display Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba
9 America Electronic Components, Inc. on March 15, 2011, Dkt. no. 2556;

10 WHEREAS the Court granted in part and denied in part defendants' motion to
11 dismiss the Amended Complaint on August 9, 2011;

12 WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,
13 "Sharp") desire a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended Complaint;

14 WHEREAS the Court previously entered Orders extending the time to answer the
15 Amended Complaint on August 25, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp and on
16 September 13, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp; and

17 WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in
18 this case.

19 THEREFORE, the State of New York and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp's deadline
20 to answer to the Amended Complaint shall be November 28, 2011.

21 Dated: October 25, 2011

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 ERIC T. SCHNEIDERMAN
2 Attorney General of the State of New York

3 By: /s/ Richard L. Schwartz
4 Richard L. Schwartz
5 Acting Bureau Chief, Antitrust Bureau
6 Amy McFarlane
7 Assistant Attorney General
8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
120 Broadway, 26th Floor
New York, New York 10271
(212) 416-8282 (Phone)
(212) 416-6015 (Facsimile)
Richard.Schwartz@ag.ny.gov

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff State of New York

10 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
11 JOHN M. GRENFELL
12 JACOB R. SORENSEN
13 FUSAE NARA
14 ANDREW D. LANPHERE
15 50 Fremont Street
16 San Francisco, CA 94105


17 By: /s/ John M. Grenfell
18 John M. Grenfell

19 Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION
20 and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

21 **ATTESTATION:** Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that the
22 concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.
24

25 Dated: 10/25/11

26 
27 Honorable Susan Illston
28